

1 Munger Tolles & Olson, LLP
Cary B. Lerman
2 California Bar No. 54937
(admitted pro hac vice)
3 *cary.lerman@mto.com*
350 South Grand Avenue, 50 Floor
4 Los Angeles, California 90071
Telephone: (213) 683-9163

5 Munger Tolles & Olson, LLP
6 Jacob Max Rosen
California Bar No. 310789
7 *max.rosen@mto.com*
(admitted pro hac vice)
8 560 Mission Street, 27th Floor
San Francisco, California 94105
9 Telephone: (415) 512-4094

10 McCormick, Barstow, Sheppard,
Wayte & Carruth LLP
11 Wade M. Hansard
Nevada Bar No. 8104
12 *wade.hansard@mccormickbarstow.com*
Jonathan W. Carlson
13 Nevada Bar No. 10536
jonathan.carlson@mccormickbarstow.com
14 Tayler D. Martinez
Nevada Bar No. 14921
15 *tayler.martinez@mccormickbarstow.com*
8337 West Sunset Road, Suite 350
16 Las Vegas, Nevada 89113
Telephone: (702) 949-1100
17 Facsimile: (702) 949-1101

18 Attorneys for GEICO CASUALTY COMPANY

19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

20 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

21 KELSY ARLITZ, individually; GARY
ARLITZ, as general guardian of ward KELSY
22 ARLITZ; KARIE ARLITZ, as general
guardian of ward KELSY ARLITZ,

23 Plaintiffs,

24 v.

25 GEICO CASUALTY COMPANY; DOES 1
26 through 100 and ROE CORPORATIONS 1
through 100, inclusive,

27 Defendants.
28

Case No. 2:19-cv-00743-RFB-DJA

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO
EXTEND THE BRIEFING SCHEDULE
FOR REPLIES TO RESPONSIVE
BRIEFING TO PLAINTIFFS AND
DEFENDANT'S RESPECTIVE MOTIONS
FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (SECOND
REQUEST)**

1 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Plaintiffs KELSY
2 ARLITZ, individually; GARY ARLITZ, as general guardian of ward KELSY ARLITZ, and KARIE
3 ARLITZ, as general guardian of ward KELSY ARLITZ, through their counsel of record, PRINCE
4 LAW GROUP, and Defendant GEICO CASUALTY COMPANY, through its counsel of record,
5 McCORMICK, BARSTOW, SHEPPARD, WAYTE & CARRUTH LLP, that the deadline for
6 Plaintiffs to file their Reply to Response to Defendant GEICO Casualty Company's Motion for
7 Summary Judgment (ECF No. 111) and for Defendant to file its Reply to Response to Plaintiffs'
8 Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 116) shall be extended from Wednesday, June 9, 2021 to
9 Wednesday, June 16, 2021. The parties' respective Motions were filed on April 14, 2021.

10 This is the second request for extension of time to file the replies to responsive briefing to
11 the parties' respective Motions for Summary Judgment. This Court approved an extension of time
12 of one week for the parties to file responsive briefing to the parties' respective Motions for Summary
13 Judgment (ECF No. 128) and the parties seek to extend the reply deadline by a commensurate
14 amount of time to maintain the spacing between responsive briefing and replies thereto. In addition,
15 Plaintiffs' undersigned counsel was recently ordered to appear for oral argument before the Nevada
16 Supreme Court in the matter of *Capriati Construction Corp., Inc. v. Yahyavi*, NVSC Case No.
17 80107, on June 10, 2021. An additional extension of time to file replies will accommodate
18 Plaintiffs' counsel given their extensive preparation in advance of the June 10, 2021 oral argument.
19 The parties note that they appreciate the Court's accommodations thus far.

20 Accordingly, the parties respectfully request this Court to approve the foregoing stipulation.
21 Their requested extension of time is not made in bad faith or to unnecessarily delay these
22 proceedings.

23 Dated: May 26, 2021

PRINCE LAW GROUP

24
25 By: /s/ Kevin T. Strong
26 Dennis M. Prince
27 Nevada Bar No. 5092
28 Kevin T. Strong
Nevada Bar No. 12107
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Dated: May 26, 2021

McCORMICK, BARSTOW, SHEPPARD,
WAYTE & CARRUTH LLP


By: /s/ Jonathan W. Carlson

Wade M. Hansard
Nevada Bar No. 8104
Jonathan W. Carlson
Nevada Bar No. 10536
Tayler D. Martinez
Nevada Bar No. 14921
Attorneys for Defendant

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 28, 2021


RICHARD E. BOULWARE, II
United States District Court

7650922.1